

From: [Deibert, Pat](#)
To: [Michael Thabault](#)
Subject: Re: 16%
Date: Thursday, January 08, 2015 4:44:52 PM

working on the 16% but still need context. the second part (up to 50%) references existing disturbance when the core areas were mapped. for those core areas no further disturbance has been or will be permitted until the disturbance level is reduced by successful habitat restoration. The state thinks that 50% is an overestimate and they are checking into that for me. The precision of the DDCT to measure disturbance also counts every disturbance - including things like two track roads, plugged and abandoned wells, small disturbances which are not captured in any other state, and which do contribute to the total disturbance estimate..

p

On Thu, Jan 8, 2015 at 4:26 PM, Michael Thabault <michael_thabault@fws.gov> wrote:
Need some insight on this before our meeting on Monday.

Michael Thabault
Assistant Regional Director
Ecological Services
Mountain Prairie Region

Begin forwarded message:

From: Noreen Walsh <noreen_walsh@fws.gov>
Date: January 8, 2015 at 9:07:50 AM PST
To: Michael Thabault <michael_thabault@fws.gov>
Subject: 16%

Please let me know what you find out about the 16% not in compliance.

Is this perhaps a reference to the Douglas core area?

And then also the Molvar claim that 50% of core areas have exceeded the cap.

Noreen Walsh
Regional Director

Mountain-Prairie Region

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service

303 236 7920

--

Pat Deibert, PhD

Certified Wildlife Biologist®

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

5353 Yellowstone Road, Suite 308A

Cheyenne, WY 82009

307-772-2374, ext. 226

got leks?